

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

It is unbelievable that you would even consider doing this to the small users. What is wrong with paying according to your usage level? To even say that you are concerned about the safety of individuals is bold faced lie. Once again it seems that the interests of the average citizen is being ignored when faced with the needs of business. You should be ashamed of yourselves.

I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

I can not afford to pay more for my telephone service! I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable. If you are truly concerned about the rights of individuals, you should review the costs assigned to cell phone use currently. Streamlining that scale would increase you income and reduce the cost to the consumer. Many of these companies are realizing an insane profit. Why are you not looking at them to increase your funding?

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Stephen Randall
461 Lowell Pl.
Neenah, Wisconsin 54956